

Magnetic field dependent specific heat and enhanced Wilson ratio in strongly correlated layered cobalt oxide

P. Limelette,¹ H. Muguerra,² and S. Hébert³

¹Laboratoire LEMA, UMR 6157, CNRS-CEA-Université François Rabelais, Parc de Grandmont, 37200 Tours, France

²Inorganic Materials Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, University of Liège, Allée de la Chimie 3, Bat. B6, 4000 Liège, Belgium

³Laboratoire CRISMAT, UMR 6508, CNRS-ENSICAEN and Université de Caen, 6 Boulevard du Maréchal Juin, 14050 Caen Cedex, France

(Received 2 June 2010; published 27 July 2010)

We have investigated the low-temperature specific-heat properties as a function of magnetic field in the strongly correlated layered cobalt oxide $[\text{BiBa}_{0.66}\text{K}_{0.36}\text{O}_2]\text{CoO}_2$. These measurements reveal two kinds of magnetic field dependent contributions in qualitative agreement with the presence of a previously inferred magnetic quantum critical point (QCP). First, the coefficient of the low-temperature T^3 behavior of the specific heat turns out to sizably decrease near a magnetic field consistent with the critical value reported in a recent paper. In addition, a moderate but significant enhancement of the Sommerfeld coefficient is found in the vicinity of the QCP suggesting a slight increase in the electronic effective mass. This result contrasts with the divergent behavior of the previously reported Pauli susceptibility. Thus, a strongly enhanced Wilson ratio is deduced, suggesting efficient ferromagnetic fluctuations in the Fermi-liquid regime which could explain the unusual magnetic field dependent specific heat. As a strong check, the high magnetic field Wilson ratio asymptotically recovers the universal limit of the local Fermi liquid against ferromagnetism.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.82.035123](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.035123)

PACS number(s): 71.27.+a

Transition-metal oxides have demonstrated over the last decades how the strong correlations could lead to unanticipated electronic properties. Outstanding examples¹ are superconducting cuprates, manganites with their colossal negative magnetoresistance,² vanadates displaying the Mott metal-insulator transition,³ and the layered cobalt oxides which exhibit an unexpected large thermopower at room temperature.⁴ Most of these oxides share in common that they are doped Mott insulator, i.e., their metallicity originates from the introduction of charge carriers by doping; otherwise, the strong Coulomb repulsion would localize electrons to form a Mott insulating state.^{1,5} Belonging to this class of materials the layered cobalt oxides have revealed, besides their enhanced room-temperature thermopower,⁶ a very rich phase diagram as well as striking properties⁷⁻⁹ including large negative magnetoresistance in some compounds¹⁰ or giant electron-electron scattering in $\text{Na}_{0.7}\text{CoO}_2$.¹¹ Interestingly, the latter observation has already led to conjecture a possible influence of a magnetic QCP in the aforementioned compound. Density-functional calculations have also predicted at the local spin-density approximation level weak itinerant ferromagnetic state competing with weak itinerant antiferromagnetic state, favoring then quantum critical fluctuations.¹²

Within this context, susceptibility measurements have recently demonstrated in the strongly correlated layered cobalt oxide $[\text{BiBa}_{0.66}\text{K}_{0.36}\text{O}_2]\text{CoO}_2$ the existence of a magnetic quantum critical point (QCP) governing the electronic properties.¹³ The investigated susceptibility χ has revealed a scaling behavior with both the temperature T and the magnetic field B ranging from a high- T non-Fermi liquid down to a low- T Fermi liquid. In the latter Fermi-liquid regime, the Pauli susceptibility has exhibited a divergent behavior with a power-law dependence as $\chi \propto b^{-0.6}$ with $b = B - B_C$ which measures the distance from the QCP and the critical magnetic field $B_C \approx 0.176$ T. While several scenarios could ex-

plain this result, this behavior may in particular originate from either an enhancement of the electronic effective mass due to the vicinity of the QCP or because of the presence of efficient ferromagnetic fluctuations increasing the Pauli susceptibility by a Stoner factor. In order to put these scenarios under experimental test, we have investigated the low-temperature specific-heat properties as a function of magnetic field in the layered cobalt oxide $[\text{BiBa}_{0.66}\text{K}_{0.36}\text{O}_2]\text{CoO}_2$, which we report on in this paper.

Similarly to Na_xCoO_2 , the structure of the layered cobalt oxide $[\text{BiBa}_{0.66}\text{K}_{0.36}\text{O}_2]\text{CoO}_2$ (abbreviated hereafter as BBKO) contains single $[\text{CoO}_2]$ layer of CdI_2 type stacked with four rocksalt-type layers, instead of a sodium deficient layer, which act as a charge reservoir.¹⁴ The reported measurements have been performed on a single crystal with a mass of 35 mg which was grown using standard flux method.¹⁵ The specific heat has been determined with a calorimeter of a Quantum Design physical properties measurement system using a relaxation method with a temperature rise on the order of 2% of the sample temperature. It is worthy to note that the calorimeter, including the thermometers, has been calibrated with each magnetic field up to 9 T. In addition, all the measurements have been duplicated without the sample for each magnetic field in order to compensate both the temperature and magnetic field dependences of the grease used to ensure a good thermal contact between the sample and the calorimeter platform. We also mention that the calorimeter's parameter which indicates the quality of the thermal contact between the sample and the platform, the so-called sample coupling, has remained between 97% and 100% during each measurement below 100 K, ensuring thus the reliability of the results.

Figure 1 displays the temperature dependence of the specific heat over the range from 300 K down to 1.9 K on a double-logarithmic scale in order to show both the low- and the high-temperature behaviors. As frequently observed, the




FIG. 1. (Color online) Temperature dependence of the single-crystal specific heat compared with a simulation of the specific heat including an electronic part as $C_{el} = \gamma T$ and a part due to phonons resulting from the numerical integration of Eq. (1). The former is characterized by the Sommerfeld coefficient $\gamma \approx 20.1$ mJ mol⁻¹ K⁻² and the latter by the Debye temperature $T_D = 123$ K. The inset exhibits the low-temperature linear regime of C/T as a function of T^2 .

main part of the specific heat originates from the acoustic and the optical phonons. While the latter mainly contribute at the highest temperatures, the former lead to the usual dependence as $C_{Debye}^T \approx \beta T^3$ which results from the low-temperature expansion of the following equation according to the Debye model:

$$C_{Debye}^T = 9N_{Av}k_B \left(\frac{T}{T_D}\right)^3 \int_0^{T/T_D} \frac{x^4 e^x}{(e^x - 1)^2} dx. \quad (1)$$

Here, N_{Av} is the Avogadro's number, k_B is the Boltzmann constant, and T_D is the Debye temperature which can be related to the low-temperature T^3 behavior as $\beta = 12\pi^4 N_{Av} k_B / 5T_D^3$. In addition to the phonon contribution, the electronic part of the specific heat is expected to vary linearly with temperature as $C_{el} = \gamma T$, with the Sommerfeld coefficient γ , as long as T is lower than the Fermi temperature T_F . By integrating numerically Eq. (1), the two aforementioned contributions are found to account for the low-temperature dependence of the measured specific heat in Fig. 1 with $\gamma \approx 20.1$ mJ mol⁻¹ K⁻² and $T_D = 123$ K. Since the Sommerfeld coefficient is proportional to the electronic effective mass, the previous rather large value illustrates the presence of sizable electronic correlations renormalizing the latter mass as observed in several parent compounds.

On the other hand, the inset in Fig. 1 reveals the linear regime of C/T as a function of T^2 below 6 K with the corresponding coefficient $\beta \approx 1.05$ mJ mol⁻¹ K⁻⁴ according to T_D . It must be emphasized here that the found parameters are consistent with the previously reported specific-heat data measured in a polycrystalline parent compound.¹⁴ Interestingly, the inset also displays what could be a sign of an anomaly around 6–7 K in analogy with the one observed quite recently in susceptibility measurements.¹³ Nevertheless, its magnitude is not enough pronounced to be firmly ascribed to any electronic instability and, thus, this requires




FIG. 2. (Color online) Low-temperature dependences of the single-crystal specific heat as $C/T - \gamma$ for selected values of the magnetic field spanning the investigated range. Note that magnetic field dependent Sommerfeld coefficient has been subtracted from C/T in order to isolate the variations of the slope. The dotted lines are guides to the eyes.

more experimental investigations in order to precise its meaning.

For now, let us turn to the magnetic field dependences of the low-temperature specific heat. The magnetic field has been applied perpendicularly to the CoO₂ planes with a magnitude lying within the range up to 9 T. As displayed in Fig. 2 by subtracting magnetic field dependent Sommerfeld coefficient from C/T as a function of T^2 , it turns out that the slope significantly decreases when the field is lowered from 9 T down to 1 T. Concomitantly, the temperature interval associated with the linear regime follows also this reduction suggesting then a possible magnetic field dependent crossover.

Since the phonon part of the specific heat could hardly explain such a magnetic field dependence, this result likely suggests another contribution of electronic type. Such a behavior could at least be ascribed to two kinds of phenomenon leading to similar temperature dependences than the phonons, namely, with a T^2 power law for C/T but including nonanalyticity and with a negative sign.¹⁶ Therefore, the linear T^2 regimes observed in Fig. 2 could result from both the standard phonon contribution and another magnetic field dependent part which here reduces the slope as the field is lowered from 9 T down to 1 T.

The first scenario involves the finite-temperature correction to Fermi-liquid theory due to strong interactions between electrons and predicts a temperature dependent Sommerfeld coefficient as $\gamma(T) = \gamma_0 - g_3 T^2 \ln(T)$, where g_3 is a peculiar coefficient in three dimensions,^{17,18} with the zero-temperature Sommerfeld coefficient γ . Since the magnitude of this correction is on the order of $(T/T_F)^2$, it could increase if the Fermi temperature decreases with the magnetic field. Within the frame of this interpretation, a decrease in the Fermi temperature could result from an enhancement of the electronic correlations. Therefore, the latter should imply an increase in the effective mass and the Sommerfeld coefficient should follow this enhancement, too.

The other scenario is concerned with the paramagnon




FIG. 3. (Color online) Magnetic field dependences of (a) the effective slope β^* and (b) the Sommerfeld coefficient γ which is compared with the specific heat as C/T measured at the lowest temperature (1.9 K). The dotted lines are guides to the eyes.

model used to describe itinerant electrons near ferromagnetic instability.^{17,19} Due to some formal analogies between the analyses realized within the finite-temperature corrected Fermi-liquid theory and the paramagnon model, a similar temperature dependence as $\gamma(T) - \gamma^\infty - g_{spin} T^2 \ln(T)$ is found, with the corresponding coefficient g_{spin} . In contrast to the first scenario, the latter model involves electronic spin-spin interactions and leads to a coefficient g_{spin} proportional to a Stoner factor potentially enhanced in the vicinity of a ferromagnetic instability. It is worth mentioning that these kinds of corrections to the specific heat have been widely discussed in the contexts of liquid ^3He (Refs. 20 and 21) and also of heavy fermion compounds.²²

To further proceed to the analysis, the effective slope of the T^2 regime, namely, β^* , and the Sommerfeld coefficients have been systematically determined within the investigated magnetic field range and are reported in Fig. 3. As a result, Fig. 3(a) reveals a nonmonotonic magnetic field dependence of β^* with first a decrease down to nearly 0.5 T followed by an increase with B as already exemplified in Fig. 2. The magnetic field dependence of γ exactly displays in Fig. 3(b) the complementary behavior with a broad maximum around 0.5 T, instead of the minimum of β^* . Since these two parameters are not determined independently, the latter figure also shows the experimental values of C/T measured at the lowest temperature. As a strong check, the magnetic field depen-




FIG. 4. (Color online) Magnetic field dependence of the dimensionless Wilson ratio $R_W = \pi^2/3(k_B/\mu_B)^2 \chi/\gamma$. The inset displays the magnetic field dependences of both the magnetization M_{DC} measured at 1.9 K (left axis) and the susceptibility χ (right axis) inferred from a numerical differentiation of M_{DC} and used in the Wilson ratio. Note that the magnetic field has been applied perpendicularly to the CoO_2 planes. The dotted lines are guides to the eyes.

dence of these experimental values is qualitatively the same than for γ , ensuring thus that these behaviors originate from a physical effect and are not an artifact of the procedure. One must emphasize here that the observed variations are rather moderate, especially those of γ , which could be a sign of a logarithmic magnetic field dependence as expected in the case of a nearly ferromagnetic Fermi liquid.¹⁹ Note that such a weak renormalization is also theoretically predicted in the vicinity of a magnetic quantum critical point within some scenarios of the Hertz-Millis theory.^{23,24} Anyway, Fig. 3(b) suggests a slight enhancement of the electronic effective mass near a magnetic field which is roughly consistent with the critical value determined from susceptibility measurements.¹³ Therefore, the behaviors of γ and β^* seem to be related to the magnetic quantum critical point inferred from susceptibility measurements.

In order to discriminate these scenarios, let us combine the specific-heat results with the previously reported Pauli susceptibility. Indeed, within Landau's Fermi-liquid theory the electronic correlations renormalize the quasiparticle effective mass, and then enhance both the Pauli susceptibility and the Sommerfeld coefficient. For a noninteracting Fermi gas, the former quantity writes $\chi_0 = \mu_B^2 g_F$ and the latter writes $\gamma_0 = (\pi^2/3) k_B^2 g_F$, with the Bohr magneton μ_B and the density of states at the Fermi level g_F . One can therefore define a dimensionless quantity known as the Wilson ratio R_W ,²⁵

$$R_W = \frac{\pi^2 k_B^2 \chi}{3 \mu_B^2 \gamma}.$$

Thus, it immediately follows that $R_W = 1$ for the free electrons. Here, by using the determined values of both the Pauli susceptibility and the Sommerfeld coefficient as, respectively, displayed in the inset of Fig. 4 and in Fig. 3(b), the Wilson ratio is found to reveal in Fig. 4 a strong enhance-

ment up to $R_W \approx 8$ at $B=0.5$ T, namely, in the vicinity of the QCP.

In addition, the values of this ratio seem to saturate above $B \approx 7$ T down to $R_W=2$ and appear, thus, always higher than the free-electron ones. To interpret these features, it is now instructive to give a more precise insight into the Landau Fermi-liquid theory. Besides the dimensionless Fermi-liquid mass enhancement m^* concerning both $\chi \propto \chi_0 m^*$ and $\gamma = \gamma_0 m^*$, the Pauli susceptibility in Eq. (2) is additionally renormalized by one of the first Landau parameters F_0^a as the Wilson ratio accordingly,^{21,26}

$$\chi = \chi_0 \frac{m^*}{1 + F_0^a} \Rightarrow R_W = \frac{1}{1 + F_0^a}. \quad (2)$$

Whereas the Landau parameters can hardly be theoretically predicted, some of their asymptotic behaviors can be inferred in the case of the local Fermi liquid (LFL).²⁷ Characterized by a momentum independent self-energy, the LFL allows us to recover some of the essential features of the Kondo model described by Wilson²⁵ as well as some of the Fermi-liquid properties obtained within the framework of the dynamical mean-field theory.⁵

In the Landau theory, one can relate the Landau parameters $F_l^{s,a}$ to the scattering amplitudes $A_l^{s,a} = F_l^{s,a} / [1 + F_l^{s,a} / (2l+1)]$ by performing a standard spherical harmonic analysis, where the superscripts s and a , respectively, refer to the spin-symmetric and the spin-antisymmetric parts. Also, because of the momentum independence of the LFL self-energy, the only nonvanishing aforementioned parameters are of s -wave type, namely, with $l=0$. It results that the forward scattering sum rule $\sum_l (A_l^s + A_l^a) = 0$ simplifies to $A_0^s = -A_0^a$. Thus, by considering the unitarity limit with the scattering amplitude $A_0^s \rightarrow 1$, one deduces the asymptotic behaviors for the remaining Landau parameters $F_0^s \rightarrow \infty$ and $F_0^a \rightarrow -1/2$. Following Eq. (2), the Wilson ratio lies between 1, for the free-electron limit, and 2 as long as the LFL is robust against ferromagnetic or metal-insulator instabilities.²⁷ Inter-

estingly, the latter universal limit $R_W=2$ appears to be achieved in Fig. 4, suggesting then that a local Fermi-liquid regime is reached in this system above $B \approx 7$ T. Since the susceptibility remains Pauli-like within the Fermi-liquid regime, one infers efficient ferromagnetic fluctuations which destabilize the LFL regime below $B \approx 7$ T and strongly increase the susceptibility values due to a Stoner-like enhancement²¹ in the vicinity of the QCP. Let us finally emphasize that besides the close analogy between the scaling properties of the susceptibility measured in this compound¹³ and in the heavy fermion system $\text{YbRh}_2(\text{Si}_{0.95}\text{Ge}_{0.05})_2$,²⁸ the found Wilson ratios are also qualitatively identical. In both systems, R_W displays a strong enhancement in the vicinity of the QCP and recovers a constant value at high magnetic field which however numerically differs according to the system. In particular, the Wilson ratio can reach values as high as 17.5 in the aforementioned heavy fermion compound,²⁸ 3–4 in CeCu_6 and CeRu_2Si_2 ,²⁹ 10 in $\text{Sr}_3\text{Ru}_3\text{O}_7$,³⁰ 6–8 (Pd), 12 (TiBe_2), or even 40 (Ni_3Ga) in nearly ferromagnetic metals.³¹

As a result, the values of the Wilson ratio are clearly in favor of a magnetic field induced nearly ferromagnetic Fermi liquid. They also indicate that the high magnetic field dependence of the specific-heat coefficient β^* in Fig. 3(a) can be mainly ascribed to ferromagnetic spin fluctuations. Moreover, both the magnetic field dependences of β^* and γ are qualitatively consistent with the presence of a QCP. The latter could therefore originate from a competition between ferromagnetic fluctuations and antiferromagnetic interactions at low magnetic field as assumed from susceptibility measurements.¹³ Further experimental investigations are now required in order to precisely characterize the complex low magnetic field regime.

We are grateful to W. Saulquin for useful discussions and we would like to acknowledge support from La Région Centre.

¹M. Imada, A. Fujimori, and Y. Tokura, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **70**, 1039 (1998).

²M. B. Salamon and M. Jaime, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **73**, 583 (2001).

³P. Limelette, A. Georges, P. Wzietek, D. Jerome, P. Metcalf, and J. Honig, *Science* **302**, 89 (2003); S. Autier-Laurent, B. Mercey, D. Chippaux, P. Limelette, and Ch. Simon, *Phys. Rev. B* **74**, 195109 (2006).

⁴I. Terasaki, Y. Sasago, and K. Uchinokura, *Phys. Rev. B* **56**, R12685 (1997).

⁵A. Georges, G. Kotliar, W. Krauth, and M. J. Rozenberg, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **68**, 13 (1996).

⁶Y. Wang, N. S. Rogado, R. J. Cava, and N. P. Ong, *Nature (London)* **423**, 425 (2003); P. Limelette, S. Hébert, V. Hardy, R. Frésard, Ch. Simon, and A. Maignan, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 046601 (2006).

⁷J. Bobroff, S. Hébert, G. Lang, P. Mendels, D. Pelloquin, and A. Maignan, *Phys. Rev. B* **76**, 100407(R) (2007).

⁸T. F. Schulze, M. Bruhwiler, P. S. Hafliger, S. M. Kazakov, Ch. Niedermayer, K. Mattenberger, J. Karpinski, and B. Batlogg, *Phys. Rev. B* **78**, 205101 (2008).

⁹A. Nicolaou, V. Brouet, M. Zacchigna, I. Vobornik, A. Tejada, A. Taleb-Ibrahimi, P. Le Fèvre, F. Bertran, S. Hébert, H. Muguerra, and D. Grebille, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 056403 (2010).

¹⁰P. Limelette, J. C. Soret, H. Muguerra, and D. Grebille, *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 245123 (2008); P. Limelette, S. Hébert, H. Muguerra, R. Frésard, and Ch. Simon, *ibid.* **77**, 235118 (2008).

¹¹S. Y. Li, L. Taillefer, D. G. Hawthorn, M. A. Tanatar, J. Paglione, M. Sutherland, R. W. Hill, C. H. Wang, and X. H. Chen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 056401 (2004).

¹²D. J. Singh, *Phys. Rev. B* **68**, 020503(R) (2003).

¹³P. Limelette, W. Saulquin, H. Muguerra, and D. Grebille, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 115113 (2010).

¹⁴M. Hervieu, A. Maignan, C. Michel, V. Hardy, N. Créon, and B. Raveau, *Phys. Rev. B* **67**, 045112 (2003).

- ¹⁵H. Leligny, D. Grebille, A. C. Masset, M. Hervieu, C. Michel, and B. Raveau, *C.R. Acad. Sci., Ser. IIc: Chim* **2**, 409 (1999).
- ¹⁶G. Baym and C. Pethick, *Landau Fermi-Liquid Theory* (Wiley, New York, 1991).
- ¹⁷A. V. Chubukov, D. L. Maslov, and A. J. Millis, *Phys. Rev. B* **73**, 045128 (2006).
- ¹⁸H. v. Löhneysen, A. Rosch, M. Vojta, and P. Wolfle, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **79**, 1015 (2007).
- ¹⁹S. Doniach and S. Engelsberg, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **17**, 750 (1966).
- ²⁰K. Levin and O. T. Valls, *Phys. Rep.* **98**, 1 (1983).
- ²¹D. Vollhardt, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **56**, 99 (1984).
- ²²A. de Visser, A. Menovsky, and J. J. M. Franses, *Physica B* **147**, 81 (1987).
- ²³J. A. Hertz, *Phys. Rev. B* **14**, 1165 (1976).
- ²⁴A. J. Millis, *Phys. Rev. B* **48**, 7183 (1993).
- ²⁵K. G. Wilson, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **47**, 773 (1975).
- ²⁶D. Pines and P. Nozières, *The Theory of Quantum Liquids* (Benjamin, New York, 1966).
- ²⁷J. R. Engelbrecht and K. S. Bedell, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74**, 4265 (1995).
- ²⁸P. Gegenwart, J. Custers, Y. Tokiwa, C. Geibel, and F. Steglich, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 076402 (2005).
- ²⁹H.-A. Krug von Nidda, R. Bulla, N. Buttgen, M. Heinrich, and A. Loidl, *Eur. Phys. J. B* **34**, 399 (2003).
- ³⁰Shin-Ichi Ikeda, Yoshiteru Maeno, Satoru Nakatsuji, Masashi Kosaka, and Yoshiya Uwatoko, *Phys. Rev. B* **62**, R6089 (2000).
- ³¹S. R. Julian, A. P. Mackenzie, G. G. Lonzarich, C. Bergemann, R. K. W. Haselwimmer, Y. Maeno, S. NishiZaki, A. W. Tyler, S. Ikeda, and T. Fujita, *Physica B* **259-261**, 928 (1999).